

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <http://www.researchgate.net/publication/284027806>

Perspectives of the PROTO-SPHERA experiment

CONFERENCE PAPER · NOVEMBER 2015

4 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



P. Micozzi

ENEA


88 PUBLICATIONS 385 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Perspectives of the PROTO-SPHERA experiment

P. Micozzi, F. Alladio, A. Lampasi, G. Maffia





Spherical Tokamaks allow to obtain:

High plasma current I_p with low B_T , high β and more compact devices

But, for a reactor/CTF extrapolation:

- No space for central solenoid (Current Drive requirement more severe)
- No neutrons shield for central stack (no superconductor/high dissipation)

Intriguing possibility $\Rightarrow$ substitute central rod with Screw Pinch plasma ($I_{TF} \rightarrow I_e$)


Potentially two problems solved:

- Simply connected configuration (no conductors inside)
- I_p driven by I_e (Helicity Injection from SP to ST)

Flux Core Spheromak (FCS) $\longrightarrow$


Theory: Taylor & Turner, *Nucl. Fusion* 29, 219 (1989)

Experiment: TS-3; N. Amemiya, et al., *JPSJ* 63, 1552 (1993)



But Flux Core Spheromaks are:

- injected by plasma guns
- formed by ~10 kV voltage on electrodes
- high pressure prefilled
- with ST safety factor $q \leq 1$



New configuration proposed

(Alladio F. et al. 2006 Nucl. Fusion **46** S613):

PROTO-SPHERA

“Flux Core Spherical Tokamak” (FCST), rather than FCS

Disk-shaped electrode driven Screw Pinch plasma (SP)

Prolated low aspect ratio ST ($A=R/a \geq 1.2$, $\kappa=b/a \sim 2.3$)
to get a Tokamak-like safety factor ($q_0 \geq 1$, $q_{\text{edge}} \sim 3$)

SP electrode current $I_e = 60$ kA



ST toroidal current $I_p = 120 \div 240$ kA

ST diameter $R_{\text{sph}} = 0.7$ m



Stability should be improved and helicity drive may be less disruptive than in conventional Flux-Core-Spheromak

PROTO-SPHERA formation follows TS-3 scheme (SP kink instability)



Formation time scale:

Alfvén MHD growth time $\tau_A \sim 0.5 \text{ ms}$

Resistive diffusion time $\tau_R \sim 70 \text{ ms}$

$(\tau_R \tau_A)^{1/2} \sim 1 \text{ ms}$ for $I_{ST} = 120 \text{ kA}$ ($2 \times I_e$)

*Courtesy by Farengo
(ISTW2008 - Frascati)*

Smoke-ring-like formation

Key points of PROTO-SPHERA design

- Stable Screw Pinch formed at Tokamak pressures ($10^{-3} \div 10^{-2}$ mbar) and with low voltage break-down (100-200 V)
- I_p/I_e ratio relevant parameter (strong energy dissipation in SP)
- MHD equilibria computed both with *monotonic* as well as *reversal safety factor profiles*



magnetic configuration is quite robust

Some level of low n resistive instability needed (reconnections to inject helicity from SP to ST)

but

SP+ST must be ideally stable at any time slice



Ideal MHD analysis to assess I_p/I_e & β limits

(Micozzi P. et al., 2010 Nucl. Fusion **50** 095004)



- **Stable at β 21÷26% for $I_p/I_e=0.5$, down to 14÷16% for $I_p/I_e=4$**
Comparing with the conventional Spherical Tokamak with central rod:
 $\beta_{T0}=28 \div 29\%$ for $I_p/I_e=0.5$ to $\beta_{T0}=72 \div 84\%$ for $I_p/I_e=4$
- **Spherical Torus elongation κ plays a key role in increasing I_p/I_e**
- **Comparison with TS-3 experimental results:**
disk-shaped SP plasma important for the configuration stability



Critical points of PROTO-SPHERA

Helicity Injection to sustain the plasma torus:
driven relaxation of Plasma Central Column forms & sustains the ST

- electrode plasma - open field lines - has $\vec{j} \parallel \vec{B}$
- the open field lines also wind toroidally
- magnetic reconnections convert open $\vec{j}, \vec{B}$ lines into closed $\vec{j}, \vec{B}$ lines winding on a spherical torus

- $I_{ST} = 240 \text{ kA}$ ($4x I_e$) really achievable?
- Can it be sustained by H.I. for at least $\tau_R \sim 70 \text{ ms}$?
- Resistive MHD stability & confinement?


"Smoke-ring like self-organization"




Proto-Sphera Phase1 (Multi-Pinch)

Aims:

- 1) Breakdown
- 2) Stable formation of plasma 'mushrooms'
- 3) Stable (≈ 1 s) achievement of $I_e = 8.5$ kA




Proto-Sphera (All parts will be reused)




By adding:

- 5 pair of new PF coils
- New power supplies
- Full amount of Tungsten wires (324 Vs 54) on the directly heated cathode now only partially filled



Assembly of the annular anode on the machine
(May 2015)



Cathode feeder bars and
return plasma current rods

Proto-Sphera Phase1 preliminary results

(Much more details on F. Alladio presentation)



Anode
plasma

- $I_e \approx 3$ kA for about 300 ms
- More than 500 shots without any damage on cathode W filaments




Plasma
Central
column



Cathode
plasma

But there is a problem...
wrong path on the external
part of vacuum vessel



Proto-Sphera Phase1 preliminary results

(See F. Alladio presentation)


Anode (no plasma filaments)



The major risk...

Anodic anchoring
of arc discharges


On the contrary in PROTO-SPHERA...
due to favorable $E \times B$ effect...



Cathode (18 plasma filaments from emitters)




Cathode
plasma
filaments
disappear
going
towards
anode



PROTO-SPHERA

Poloidal Field coils



Group A: ST compression coils
(connected in series)
Not installed on Multi-Pinch

Characteristics:

- High voltage (~20 kV) insulation
- Thin Inconel casings to allow for fast **B** diffusion

Cost $\approx$ 0.5M\$

Group B: SP shaping coils
(connected in series)
Already installed on Multi-Pinch


Three new Power Supplies:

- 1) "Group A" (no on Multi-Pinch)
- 2) "Cathode" (reduced on Multi-Pinch)
implementation cost $\approx$ 0.2M\$
- 3) "Screw Pinch" (reduced on Multi-Pinch)



Proto-Sphera power supplies: *SuperCapacitors will be used*



Screw Pinch



PF coils 'A'



Cost $\approx$ 0.6M\$


Maxwel Technologies SC (C=63 F , V=125 V)

Advantages:

- No connection to 20 kV substation and no the step-down transformers
- Standard SCR Controller (no thyristor bridges)
- Very reduced cost!

For the "PF coils A" P.S. a small conventional capacitor bank (Ducati) must be added

Reference:
G. Maffia et al., IEEEIC 2015, Rome



Cost: less than 0.1M\$

Conclusions



Proto-Sphera Phase1 already solved the major concern: no anode arc anchoring!

The progress in SuperCondenser solves the major “technological” concern

If Central plasma column & Spherical Torus formation are successful

Proto-Sphera will allow to assess if magnetic configurations

- simply connected (construction advantages!)
- with high plasma beta (minimal geometrical size)
- sustained by helicity injection

can have further developments...

Proto-Sphera can develop this program:

at very modest costs ($\approx 1.5\text{M}\$$);


in a flexible way (easy to add-up new machine components)

Further developments...

"Open" structure of the magnetic field & simply connected configurations:

- Magnetic well inside the plasma $\rightarrow \beta \approx 1$
- Confinement system with two "ends" $\rightarrow$ easy refueling/exhausting of plasma
- Emerging field lines ease the control of the electric potential within the plasma
- Recover from disruptions (plasma rebuilds from the open ends if there are electrodes)


A simply connected configuration is also more suitable for channeling particle jets and transforming fusion power into propulsive power (for space thrusters)



From University of Washington Star Thrust Experiment (STX)

Further developments...


Triple X-point on Proto-Sphaera anode



CKF configuration
is a possible evolution
of the Proto-Sphaera concept

It has found to be
ideally MHD stable up to $\beta=1$
(same code of Proto-Sphaera)
...promising for space thrusters


But how to drive the ST current?
In a D-He₃ reactor the fast
particle losses could produce
the “right” current on the
surrounding discharge... →



This mechanism could also help
in producing the SP current (I_e)
in a D-T reactor based upon the
“standard” Proto-Sphaera configuration ($\beta \approx 0.2$):
SP dissipation is a crucial point...

Further developments...

Proto-Sphera load assembly could in principle accommodate a CKF, with some changes, in order to investigate formation, stability and β level before the resistive decay



**A pair of new coils, same electrodes:
smaller but hotter?**

**Same coils, new electrodes:
bigger but colder?**